arXiv:1403.4168v3 [math.FA] 9 Jul 2014

FOURIER AND BEYOND: INVARIANCE PROPERTIES OF A FAMILY OF INTEGRAL TRANSFORMS

CAMERON L. WILLIAMS, BERNHARD G. BODMANN, AND DONALD J. KOURI

Abstract. This paper presents a family of transforms which share many properties with the Fourier transform. We prove that these transforms are isometries on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and have the same scaling property. The transforms can be chosen to leave Gaussian-like functions invariant. We also establish short-time analogs of these transforms.

1. Introduction

The Fourier transform is central to many results in science, engineering and mathematics. It facilitates the extraction of frequency information from a function with suitable integrability properties. There are at least three incarnations of the Fourier transform: One can understand it as an integral transform that applies to Lebesgue integrable functions, or with an appropriate normalization it can be viewed as a unitary map on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and it is also possible to define the Fourier transform of distributions by appealing to duality. Gaussians are intimately connected to the structure of the Fourier transform, for example in their role as minimizers of uncertainty measures. This connection is also relevant for the short-time Fourier transform, a modification which extracts local frequency information by modulating with a moving window and subsequently applying the Fourier transform.

In this paper we address the question of the Fourier transform can be generalized in some way so that a more general frequency and time-frequency analysis can be performed. To uncover a more general family of integral transforms, we start by considering some of the most fundamental properties of the Fourier transform. The Fourier transform of any $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is by our convention

$$\mathcal{F}f(\omega) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\omega t} f(t)\, dt, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{R}\,.$$

It is well-known that the Fourier transform preserves the functional form of a Gaussian; particularly, if $g_1(t) = e^{-t^2/2}$, then $\mathcal{F}g_1 = g_1$. The behavior of the Fourier transform under dilations gives a glimpse into the uncertainty principle in which the Gaussian plays an instrumental role. We denote the dilation operator by D_α. For $\alpha > 0$, we define D_α by $D_\alpha f(t) = f(t/\alpha)\sqrt{\alpha}$ where $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. We then have for $\alpha > 0$ the intertwining relationship

$$\mathcal{F}D_\alpha = D_{\alpha^{-1}}\mathcal{F}\,.$$

When restricted to $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ the Fourier transform can be shown to be an isometry for the L^2-norm with a dense range in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ [9], and thus $\mathcal{F}$ extends to a unitary map on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Abusing notation, we denote the integral transform and the associated unitary map with the same symbol $\mathcal{F}$. In terms of the inner product and any two functions f and g in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, the unitarity is expressed as $\langle \mathcal{F}f, g\rangle = \langle f, \mathcal{F}^{-1}g\rangle$. Furthermore, the Fourier transform satisfies $\mathcal{F}^4 = I$ so that $\mathcal{F}^* = \mathcal{F}^{-1} = \mathcal{F}^3$ and, in turn, its eigenvalues and spectrum are comprised of $\pm 1, \pm i$.

The main results in this paper establish that there is a family of integral transforms $\{\Phi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, each Φ_n densely defined on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, which generalize the properties of the Fourier transform in the following way:

(1) If $g_n(t) = e^{-\frac{t^{2n}}{2n}}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\Phi_n g_n = g_n$.

(2) Each Φ_n satisfies the intertwining relation with dilations: for $\alpha > 0$, $\Phi_n D_\alpha = D_{\alpha^{-1}} \Phi_n$.
(3) The map Φ_n is an isometry when restricted to a dense subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and it has a dense range, so it uniquely extends to a unitary on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.
(4) $\Phi_n^4 = I$ and its eigenvalues and spectrum are comprised of $\pm 1, \pm i$.

The Gaussian is a special case of a family of the Gaussian-like functions $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ featured in property (1), hereafter referred to as n-Gaussians. The guiding principle for this paper is to retain as many properties of the Fourier transform as possible while demanding that Φ_n leaves the n-Gaussian invariant. In particular, the Fourier transform emerges as the special case $\mathcal{F} = \Phi_1$. In addition to introducing the transforms Φ_n and their properties, we show that they give rise to associated short-time transforms which generalize the short-time Fourier transform.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we develop the family of integral transforms and their kernels and show properties (1) and (2). In Section 3, we discuss a function space upon which Φ_n can be defined, establish property (3) for the family of integral transforms, extend them to be defined on all of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and show that the family is unitary on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. In Section 4, we show property (4) and demonstrate some eigenfunctions of the transforms. In Section 5, we develop short-time analogues for the family of integral transforms and establish some identical results to those of the short-time Fourier transform.

2. A Family of Integral Transforms

We wish to consider only integral transforms which mimic the behavior of $\mathcal{F}$ under dilations, in accordance with property (2). As we see in the following proposition, this is a simple consequence if we restrict our choice to maps of the form

$$\Phi_n f(\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_n(\omega t) f(t)\, dt \tag{2.1}$$

associated with a function φ_n. These maps are then defined for $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ and any suitable function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ for which $t \mapsto \varphi_n(\omega t) f(t)$ is integrable.

Proposition 1. Let $\alpha > 0, \omega \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \mapsto \varphi_n(\omega t) D_\alpha f(t)$ be integrable, then

$$\Phi_n D_\alpha f(\omega) = D_{\alpha^{-1}} \Phi_n f(\omega)$$

Proof. We abbreviate $f_\alpha(t) = D_\alpha f(t) = f(t/\alpha)/\sqrt{\alpha}$, then we wish to show $\Phi_n f_\alpha(\omega) = \sqrt{\alpha} \Phi_n f(\alpha\omega)$. A simple substitution in the stipulated form (2.1) of Φ_n gives the desired behavior. □

We formally define the family of generalized Gaussian functions.

Definition 1. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the n-Gaussian is the function $g_n \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$g_n(t) = e^{-\frac{t^{2n}}{2n}}.$$

By analogy with the Fourier transform, we require in (1) that g_n be invariant under Φ_n. With the stipulated form for the integral kernel, this becomes

$$e^{-\frac{\omega^{2n}}{2n}} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_n(\omega t) e^{-\frac{t^{2n}}{2n}}\, dt. \tag{2.2}$$

From the properties, we can deduce some consequences for φ_n. We write

$$\varphi_n(\eta) = c_n(\eta) + i s_n(\eta), \tag{2.3}$$

where c_n and s_n are real-valued. The function c_n cannot be uniquely determined from (2.2) as any odd, slowly-growing function can be added to it and the integration against the n-Gaussian would be unchanged. Similarly, s_n must be orthogonal to the n-Gaussians for all $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, otherwise the

right side of (2.2) would become complex whereas the left side is pure real. These observations suggest that s_n should be an odd function and that c_n be even. We will refer to the even part of Φ_n as the integral operator defined by the real, even part of the kernel, and similarly we will refer to the odd part of Φ_n as the integral operator defined by the imaginary, odd part of the kernel. For now we will consider the even part of the transform, as it can be easily established from (2.2). To determine c_n uniquely we require that it be analytic so that it is given by a power series to further parallel Fourier theory.

Definition 2. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and

$$c(n;l) = \frac{(-1)^l n}{(2n)^{2l+\frac{1}{2n}}\Gamma\left(l+\frac{1}{2n}\right) l!}.$$

We then define c_n as the entire function with the series

$$c_n(\eta) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} c(n;l)\eta^{2nl}\,, \qquad \eta \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{2.4}$$

Lemma 1. Let the function c_n be as in (2.4), then, for $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, it satisfies the integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\frac{\omega^{2n}}{2n}} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_n(\omega t) e^{-\frac{t^{2n}}{2n}}\, dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} c(n;l)(\omega t)^{2nl} e^{-\frac{t^{2n}}{2n}}\, dt\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. If the series given by

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} c(n;l)\omega^{2nl} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^{2nl} e^{-\frac{t^{2n}}{2n}}\, dt \tag{2.6}$$

converges absolutely for all $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, then the integral and summation in (2.5) can be interchanged by the Fubini-Tonelli theorem. Substituting $y = \frac{t^{2n}}{2n}$ in the integral in (2.6) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^{2nl} e^{-\frac{t^{2n}}{2n}}\, dt &= \frac{1}{n}(2n)^{l+\frac{1}{2n}} \int_0^{\infty} y^{l+\frac{1}{2n}-1} e^{-y}\, dy \\ &= \frac{1}{n}(2n)^{l+\frac{1}{2n}} \Gamma\left(l+\frac{1}{2n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Inserting this expression into (2.6) yields the following series

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l!}\left(-\frac{\omega^{2n}}{2n}\right)^l. \tag{2.7}$$

This series converges absolutely for all $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ and so the integration and summation can be interchanged in (2.5), resulting in $e^{-\frac{\omega^{2n}}{2n}}$ and thus the lemma is proved. □

The function c_n is related to Bessel functions of the first kind. These functions form a one-parameter family $\{J_\nu\}_{\nu\in\mathbb{R}}$ with an integral representation

$$J_\nu(t) = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^{\pi} \cos(t\sin\theta - \nu\theta)\, d\theta - \frac{\sin(\nu\pi)}{\pi}\int_0^{\infty} e^{-t\sinh s - \nu s}\, ds \tag{2.8}$$

valid for any $t > 0$ [11].

Lemma 2. The function c_n as defined above can be expressed as

$$c_n(\eta) = \frac{1}{2}|\eta|^{n-\frac{1}{2}} J_{-1+\frac{1}{2n}}\left(\frac{|\eta|^n}{n}\right)$$

where for $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$, J_ν is the Bessel function of the first kind of order ν.

Proof. We first define the auxiliary function

$$h_n(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} c(n;l) t^{2l} .$$

Term-by-term differentiation shows that the function h_n solves the differential equation

$$t^2 h_n''(t) + t h_n'(t) + \left(t - \left(1 - \frac{1}{2n}\right)^2 + \frac{1-\frac{1}{2n}}{t}\right) h_n = 0$$

on $\mathbb{R}^+$ and has the initial values $h_n(0) = \frac{n(2n)^{-\frac{1}{2n}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2n}\right)}$ and $h_n'(0) = 0$. Inspecting the differential equation shows that apart from the term $\dfrac{1-\frac{1}{2n}}{t}$, it is Bessel's equation with parameter $\nu = \pm\left(1-\frac{1}{2n}\right)$. Using $t^{1-\frac{1}{2n}}$ as an integrating factor gives the relationship between h_n and J_ν for $t > 0$,

$$h_n(t) = \frac{1}{2}(nt)^{1-\frac{1}{2n}} J_\nu(t) .$$

Next, we note that $h_n(0) \neq 0$ requires $\nu = -1 + \frac{1}{2n}$. With this choice of ν, inserting $t = |\eta^n|/n$ gives the claimed identity $c_n(\eta) = h_n(|\eta|^n/n)$. □

The relationship to Bessel functions provides us with a bound for c_n.

Lemma 3. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a constant C_n such that c_n is bounded by

$$|c_n(\eta)| \leq C_n |\eta|^{n-\frac{1}{2}}$$

for all $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. The existence of C_n when restricting the inequality to η in a given interval $[-L, L] \subset \mathbb{R}$ for a fixed $L > 0$ follows from the analyticity of c_n and the vanishing derivatives at the origin. This means it is enough to prove the bound for the complement of the interval.

We establish this with the relation to J_ν, $\nu = -1 + \frac{1}{2n}$. The integral representation for the Bessel function (2.8) gives the elementary bound

$$|J_\nu(t)| \leq 1 + \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^\infty e^{-ts-\nu s}\, ds = 1 + \frac{1}{\pi(\nu+t)} \qquad t > -\nu .$$

This implies

$$|c_n(\eta)| \leq \frac{1}{2}|\eta|^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\left(1 + \frac{1}{\pi(-1+\frac{1}{2n}+|\eta|^n/n)}\right) .$$

We conclude that if we choose $L^n/n > 1 - 1/2n$ then for all $\eta \notin [-L, L]$ the claimed bound holds with some C_n, which complements the bound established on $[-L, L]$. □

Remark. For large values of $|\eta|$, the known asymptotics of Bessel functions [11] implies

$$c_n(\eta) \sim \sqrt{\frac{n}{2\pi}}|\eta|^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \cos\left(\frac{|\eta|^n}{n} + \frac{\pi}{4}\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)\right),$$

This means that our estimate is not sharp, but for the following analysis any polynomial bound will suffice.

As noted above, there is no simple way to construct s_n from (2.2); returning to Fourier transform theory, it is clear that $c_1(\eta) = (2\pi)^{-1/2}\cos(\eta)$ and $s_1(\eta) = -(2\pi)^{-1/2}\sin(\eta)$. We note that the (distributional) Hilbert transform maps c_1 to $-s_1$ [5]. This motivates the following definition.

Definition 3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and c_n be given as above, then we define

$$s_n(\eta) = -\mathcal{H}c_n(\eta), \tag{2.9}$$

where $\mathcal{H}$ denotes the Hilbert transform on the space of tempered distributions.

Next, we wish to show that s_n is, in fact, a function so that one can understand Φ_n as an integral transform, when its domain is suitably chosen. To this end, we recall analytic representations and Plemelj relations [7] for distributions on the space $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ of smooth, compactly supported functions or for distributions on the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 1 ([7, Theorem 1.2])**.** If $f \in \mathcal{S}'$ then there exists a function F which is analytic in $\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R}$, and there exist $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $M > 0$ such that

$$|F(z)| \leq M(1+|z|^2)^m |\Im z|^{-k}$$

for each $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $-1 < \Im z < 1$ and if $h \in \mathcal{D}$ then

$$\langle f, h\rangle = \lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (F(t+i\epsilon) - F(t-i\epsilon))h(t)\,dt\,.$$

Definition 4. For $f \in \mathcal{S}'$ any function F with the properties specified in the preceding theorem is called an analytic representation of f. The Hilbert transform of f with respect to the analytic representation F is then the distribution $\mathcal{H}f$ characterized by the values

$$\langle \mathcal{H}f, h\rangle = \lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} i(F(t+i\epsilon) + F(t-i\epsilon))h(t)\,dt$$

for $h \in \mathcal{D}$.

A concrete analytic representation of regular distributions is obtained in the following way [10].

Lemma 4 ([10, Satz 1.1])**.** If a function f is continuous on $\mathbb{R}$ and has at most polynomial growth, so $|f(t)| \leq C(1+t^2)^m$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with some $C > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}$ then f is a tempered distribution with analytic representation

$$F(z) = \frac{(1+z^2)^{m+1}}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+t^2)^{m+1}} f(t) \frac{1}{t-z}\,dt\,.$$

The approximations F_ϵ, $F_\epsilon(t) = F(t+i\epsilon) - F(t-i\epsilon)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\epsilon > 0$, converge to f in $\mathcal{S}'$ as $\epsilon \to 0$.

This lemma immediately applies to c_n. In our case, the analyticity of c_n simplifies the proof, so we sketch it here to keep the exposition self-contained.

Corollary. If f is the restriction of an entire function to $\mathbb{R}$ and $|f(t)| \leq C(1+t^2)^m$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with some $C > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}$ then f is a tempered distribution with analytic representation as in the preceding lemma.

Proof. If f is the restriction of an entire function $\tilde{f}$, then $g : t \mapsto \frac{1}{(1+t^2)^{m+1}} f(t)$ is the restriction of $z \mapsto \frac{1}{(1+z^2)^{m+1}}\tilde{f}(z)$. By the assumed bound on f, we have $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Using a dominated convergence argument then shows that the integral

$$G(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t) \frac{1}{t-z}\,dt$$

defines an analytic function G on $\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R}$. Moreover, the sum $G(t+i\epsilon) - G(t-i\epsilon)$ can be complemented to an integral over a closed contour in the vicinity of the real number line, and by residue calculus it converges to $g(t)$ as $\epsilon \to 0$. This convergence can be shown to be in $\mathcal{S}'$. Consequently, F_ϵ converges to f in $\mathcal{S}'$. □

Corollary. If c_n is given as above, then as a tempered distribution it has an analytic representation. Moreover, the Hilbert transform of c_n is a regular distribution, so s_n is a function. Additionally, it is real analytic due c_n being real analytic and the Hilbert transform commuting with differentiation. Therefore the kernel φ_n is real analytic.

For each even test function ϕ, integration against s_n gives 0 since s_n is an odd function. Likewise the integration of an odd test function against c_n gives 0 since c_n is an even function. This duality will allow us to consider even and odd functions independently, which will allow us to prove results for the even part of Φ_n and the odd part of Φ_n separately. With this understanding of s_n, we have the following compact notation for φ_n:

$$\varphi_n(\eta) = (1 - i\mathcal{H})c_n(\eta).$$

Since φ_n is indeed a function, the scaling property in Proposition 1 holds and so property (2) holds on a suitable test function space. As noted above, $\varphi_1(\eta) = (2\pi)^{-1/2}e^{-i\eta}$ and so the Fourier transform emerges. The explicit expression for φ_2 was computed with Mathematica and is given by

$$\varphi_2(\eta) = \frac{1}{2}|\eta|^{3/2}J_{-3/4}\left(\frac{\eta^2}{2}\right) + i\frac{\eta}{4\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)}\left[2 + \sqrt{2\pi|\eta|}\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)\left(J_{3/4}\left(\frac{\eta^2}{2}\right) - H_{3/4}\left(\frac{\eta^2}{2}\right)\right)\right],$$

where H_ν is the Struve H function of order ν [6].

3. Properties of Φ_n

3.1. **The Domain of Definition.** When developing the Fourier transform to full generality, it is often first defined on functions in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and then extended by considering limits of Cauchy sequences in the dense subset $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. For such functions, the results from the theory on $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ are true as well. It is by no surprise then that we must employ a similar technique in the present setting with a caveat: because the kernels diverge at infinity, the dense subspace on which the integral transforms are defined cannot be all of $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ as integrals would not be assured to converge.

Definition 5. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the Φ_n transform of a function g, when it exists, to be

$$\Phi_n g(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_n(\omega t)g(t)\,dt. \tag{3.1}$$

For convenience, we define the following function:

$$\phi(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & t \in [0,1] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

This function is the Haar scaling function from wavelet theory from which we define the following for $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$\phi_{j,k}(t) = \phi(2^j t - k).$$

For fixed j denote the vector space generated by $\phi_{j,k}$ by V_j. It is not hard to see that $V_j \subseteq V_{j+1}$ and the closed linear span of $\bigcup_j V_j$ is $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ [4].

Motivated by the even nature of the real part of the kernel and the odd nature of the imaginary part of the kernel, we write V_j as the direct sum of two orthogonal subspaces: ${V_j}^+$ and ${V_j}^-$; these subspaces are the symmetric and antisymmetric subspaces of V_j, respectively. Since φ_n is an analytic function and $\phi_{j,k}^+$ is compactly supported, $\Phi_n\phi_{j,k}^+$ is defined and so it is natural to examine the behavior of the even part of Φ_n on these functions. However, for reasons discussed below, we shall define the odd part of Φ_n on $\mathcal{H}({V_j}^+)$ taking the place of ${V_j}^-$.

As the Hilbert transform is unitary on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we have that the closed linear span of $\bigcup_j \mathcal{H}(V_j{}^+) \oplus \mathcal{H}(V_j{}^-)$ is also $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. The Hilbert transform of an even function in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is an odd function in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and vice versa; hence the closed linear span of $\bigcup_j \mathcal{H}(V_j{}^+)$ is the odd functions in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Therefore since the closed linear span of $\bigcup_j V_j{}^+$ is the even functions in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, it follows that the closed linear span of $\bigcup_j V_j{}^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}(V_j{}^+)$ will again be $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ since any function may be decomposed into an even and odd part.

Due to the distributional nature of s_n, the definition for Φ_n on odd functions can be rewritten in terms of the distributional Hilbert transform.

Proposition 2. Let $g \in \mathcal{H}(V_j{}^+)$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\Phi_n g(\omega) = i \operatorname{sgn}(\omega) \Phi_n \mathcal{H} g(\omega). \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. If $\omega = 0$, both sides are clearly 0 since g is odd and $\operatorname{sgn}(0) = 0$. If $\omega \neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_n g(\omega) &= i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s_n(\omega t) g(t)\, dt \\ &= -\frac{i}{|\omega|} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{H} c_n(t) g\left(\frac{t}{\omega}\right) dt \\ &= i \operatorname{sgn}(\omega) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_n(\omega t) \mathcal{H} g(t)\, dt \\ &= i \operatorname{sgn}(\omega) \Phi_n \mathcal{H} g(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used that $\mathcal{H}(g(\alpha\cdot))(t) = \operatorname{sgn}(\alpha)\mathcal{H}g(\alpha t)$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ [5], the distributional definition of s_n and the distributional nature of the integral in (3.2). Clearly the final integral exists for all $g \in \mathcal{H}(V_j{}^+)$ since $\mathcal{H}g \in V_j{}^+$.

□

With the equivalent formulation of the odd part of Φ_n established, it remains to show that Φ_n is in fact an L^2 isometry with dense range in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

3.2. **Preservation of the L^2-norm.** We first show that Φ_n preserves the L^2-norm on functions in $V_j{}^+$ since the form of c_n is explicitly known. Returning to (3.2) we see that there is an intertwining between the even and odd part of the integral transform and thus by proving results on the even part of Φ_n, we hope to show the same properties for the odd part of Φ_n.

3.2.1. *On $V_j{}^+$.*

Lemma 5. $\Phi_n|_{V_j{}^+}$ is an L^2 isometry, i.e. for all $g \in V_j{}^+$,

$$\langle \Phi_n g, \Phi_n g \rangle = \langle g, g \rangle. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. We proceed by first explicitly computing the image of a basis element in $V_j{}^+$ under Φ_n to obtain a general expression which will allow us to verify directly that $\Phi_n|_{V_j{}^+}$ is an L^2 isometry. Let $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\phi^+_{j,k}(t) = \frac{1}{2}(\phi_{j,k}(t) + \phi_{j,k}(-t))$. Without loss of generality assume $k \geq 0$, then $\phi^+_{j,k} \in V_j{}^+$. For convenience, set $\nu = -1 + \frac{1}{2n}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_n \phi^+_{j,k}(\omega) &= \int_0^{\infty} c_n(\omega t) \phi_{j,k}(t)\, dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} |\omega|^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\frac{k}{2^j}}^{\frac{k+1}{2^j}} t^{n-\frac{1}{2}} J_\nu\left(\frac{|\omega|^n}{n} t^n\right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Making the change of variable $z = t^n$ and letting $a = \frac{k}{2^j}$, $b = \frac{k+1}{2^j}$ and $\alpha = \frac{|\omega|^n}{n}$, we have

$$\Phi_n \phi^+_{j,k}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2n} |\omega|^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{a^n}^{b^n} z^{\nu+1} J_\nu(\alpha z)\, dz.$$

From Watson [11], we have that when $\alpha > 0$ and $\nu > -1$

$$\int_0^1 t^{\nu+1} J_\nu(\alpha t)\, dt = \frac{1}{\alpha} J_{\nu+1}(\alpha).$$

After a change of variable, the previous equality can be seen to be equivalent to

$$\int_0^\beta t^{\nu+1} J_\nu(\alpha t)\, dt = \frac{\beta^{\nu+1}}{\alpha} J_{\nu+1}(\alpha\beta).$$

Then we have

$$\Phi_n \phi^+_{j,k}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{|\omega|}} \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{k+1}{2^j}} J_{\frac{1}{2n}} \left(\frac{|\omega|^n}{n} \left(\frac{k+1}{2^j} \right)^n \right) - \sqrt{\frac{k}{2^j}} J_{\frac{1}{2n}} \left(\frac{|\omega|^n}{n} \left(\frac{k}{2^j} \right)^n \right) \right\}. \tag{3.4}$$

Let $g \in {V_j}^+$, then it may be written as

$$g(t) = \sum_k c_k \phi^+_{j,k}(t),$$

for some $c_k \in \mathbb{C}$, where k runs over some finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}$. To show that $\langle \Phi_n g, \Phi_n g \rangle = \langle g, g \rangle$, we must show that $\langle \Phi_n \phi^+_{j,k'}, \Phi_n \phi^+_{j,k} \rangle = \langle \phi^+_{j,k'}, \phi^+_{j,k} \rangle$. Since $\{\phi^+_{j,k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an orthogonal basis for ${V_j}^+$, this is equivalent to showing that $\langle \Phi_n \phi^+_{j,k'}, \Phi_n \phi^+_{j,k} \rangle = 0$ if $k' \neq k$ and $\langle \Phi_n \phi^+_{j,k}, \Phi_n \phi^+_{j,k} \rangle = \langle \phi^+_{j,k}, \phi^+_{j,k} \rangle$.

Thus we consider $\langle \Phi_n \phi^+_{j,k'}, \Phi_n \phi^+_{j,k} \rangle$. Without loss of generality, assume $k' \geq k$ and set $a = \frac{k+1}{2^j}$, $a' = \frac{k'+1}{2^j}$, $b = \frac{k}{2^j}$, $b' = \frac{k'}{2^j}$ and $\mu = \frac{1}{2n}$. Then

$$\langle \Phi_n \phi^+_{j,k'}, \Phi_n \phi^+_{j,k} \rangle = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2\omega} \left\{ \sqrt{a'} J_\mu \left(\frac{a'^n}{n} \omega^n \right) - \sqrt{b'} J_\mu \left(\frac{b'^n}{n} \omega^n \right) \right\} \left\{ \sqrt{a} J_\mu \left(\frac{a^n}{n} \omega^n \right) - \sqrt{b} J_\mu \left(\frac{b^n}{n} \omega^n \right) \right\} d\omega.$$

Letting $z = \frac{\omega^n}{n}$, this becomes

$$\langle \Phi_n \phi^+_{j,k'}, \Phi_n \phi^+_{j,k} \rangle = \frac{1}{2n} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{z} \left(\sqrt{a'} J_\mu(a'^n z) - \sqrt{b'} J_\mu(b'^n z) \right) \left(\sqrt{a} J_\mu(a^n z) - \sqrt{b} J_\mu(b^n z) \right) dz.$$

From Watson [11], if $0 < \alpha \leq \beta$ and $\mu > 0$

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{z} J_\mu(\alpha z) J_\mu(\beta z)\, dz = \frac{1}{2\mu} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^\mu.$$

We now consider two cases: $k' = k$ and $k' > k$. If $k' = k$, then $a' = a$ and $b' = b$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi_n \phi^+_{j,k}, \Phi_n \phi^+_{j,k} \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \left(a - 2\sqrt{ab} \left(\frac{b^n}{a^n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2n}} + b \right) \\ &= \langle \phi^+_{j,k}, \phi^+_{j,k} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

If $k' > k$, then $b' \geq a$ and

$$\langle \Phi_n \phi^+_{j,k'}, \Phi_n \phi^+_{j,k} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{aa'} \left(\frac{a^n}{a'^n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2n}} - \sqrt{a'b} \left(\frac{b^n}{a'^n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2n}} - \sqrt{ab'} \left(\frac{a^n}{b'^n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2n}} + \sqrt{bb'} \left(\frac{b^n}{b'^n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2n}} \right) = 0.$$

It follows then that $\langle \Phi_n \phi^+_{j,k'}, \Phi_n \phi^+_{j,k} \rangle = \langle \phi^+_{j,k'}, \phi^+_{j,k} \rangle$ since $\{\phi^+_{j,k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is an orthogonal basis for ${V_j}^+$. Returning to g as above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi_n g, \Phi_n g \rangle &= \sum_k \sum_{k'} c_k \overline{c_{k'}} \langle \Phi_n \phi^+_{j,k'}, \Phi_n \phi^+_{j,k} \rangle \\ &= \sum_k |c_k|^2 \langle \phi^+_{j,k}, \phi^+_{j,k} \rangle \\ &= \langle g, g \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\Phi_n|_{{V_j}^+}$ is an L^2 isometry. □

3.2.2. *On $\mathcal{H}({V_j}^+)$.* The proof for the preservation of the L^2-norm on $\mathcal{H}({V_j}^+)$ by Φ_n follows very quickly from the preservation of the L^2-norm on ${V_j}^+$ by Φ_n and makes critical use of the alternate expression for Φ_n given in (3.2).

Lemma 6. $\Phi_n|_{\mathcal{H}({V_j}^+)}$ is an L^2 isometry, i.e. for all $g \in \mathcal{H}({V_j}^+)$,

$$\langle \Phi_n g, \Phi_n g \rangle = \langle g, g \rangle. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. Let $g \in \mathcal{H}({V_j}^+)$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi_n g, \Phi_n g \rangle &= \langle i \operatorname{sgn}(\cdot) \Phi_n \mathcal{H} g, i \operatorname{sgn}(\cdot) \Phi_n \mathcal{H} g \rangle \\ &= \langle \Phi_n \mathcal{H} g, \Phi_n \mathcal{H} g \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathcal{H} g, \mathcal{H} g \rangle \\ &= \langle g, g \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where we have made use of (3.2), Lemma 5 and the unitarity of the Hilbert transform. Hence Φ_n is an isometry on $\mathcal{H}({V_j}^+)$. We now state the theorem. □

Theorem 2. $\Phi_n : {V_j}^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}({V_j}^+) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is an isometry, i.e. for all $g \in {V_j}^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}({V_j}^+)$,

$$\langle \Phi_n g, \Phi_n g \rangle = \langle g, g \rangle.$$

Proof. Let $g \in {V_j}^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}({V_j}^+)$. We decompose g according to its even and odd parts as so: $g = g^+ + g^-$, where g^+ is the even part of g and g^- is the odd part of g. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi_n g, \Phi_n g \rangle &= \langle \Phi_n (g^+ + g^-), \Phi_n (g^+ + g^-) \rangle \\ &= \langle \Phi_n g^+, \Phi_n g^+ \rangle + \langle \Phi_n g^-, \Phi_n g^- \rangle \\ &= \langle g^+, g^+ \rangle + \langle g^-, g^- \rangle \\ &= \langle g, g \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where we have made use of the even- and odd-ness of the kernel of transform and Lemmas 5 and 6. Therefore Φ_n is an isometry and the theorem is proved. □

3.3. **Unitarity.** Just as the Fourier transform can be extended from an isometry on $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ to a unitary operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we wish to do the same for Φ_n. We first show that Φ_n can be extended to $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then investigate the nature of Φ_n^* and show that Φ_n^* parallels $\mathcal{F}^*$. With these two results at our disposal we will be able to prove the unitarity of Φ_n.

We wish to extend Φ_n to be defined on all of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. We may do this because Φ_n is a continuous operator, the closed linear span of $\bigcup_j {V_j}^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}({V_j}^+)$ is $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is complete. By the bounded linear extension theorem, Φ_n extends to a bounded linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. In an abuse of notation, we write the extension of Φ_n to all of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ again as Φ_n. There is no risk of confusion as the meaning will be clear from context. Furthermore, the extension of Φ_n is also an isometry. A

close inspection of (3.2) shows that the Hilbert transform is a Φ_n multiplier as the property clearly holds after extending to $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

From traditional Fourier theory, we know that $\mathcal{F}^*$, when it exists as an integral transform, is given by

$$\mathcal{F}^* g(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega t} g(t)\, dt.$$

Intuitively, we expect similar behavior for the family Φ_n. The integral operator defined by $g \mapsto \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{\varphi_n(\omega t)} g(t)\, dt$, with $g \in {V_j}^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}({V_j}^+)$, is an isometry and hence bounded by employing similar reasoning as above. Since $\bigcup_j {V_j}^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}({V_j}^+)$ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, an adaptation of Theorem 7.5 in [3] shows that, for $g \in {V_j}^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}({V_j}^+)$,

$$\Phi_n^* g(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{\varphi_n(\omega t)} g(t)\, dt. \tag{3.6}$$

Likewise, the extension of Φ_n^* to all of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ gives that Φ_n^* is an isometry. This leads directly into the next theorem.

Theorem 3. Φ_n is a unitary operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Clearly it satisfies the condition that it be an isometry so all that remains to show is that its range is all of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. We do this by mimicking the proof given by Stein and Weiss for the Fourier case [9] with the multiplication property contained therein replaced with the adjoint as these are equivalent properties. Since Φ_n is an isometry, its range is a closed subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Suppose its range is not all of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then there exists $h \neq 0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that for all $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$

$$\langle \Phi_n g, h \rangle = 0.$$

Employing the adjoint, this condition is equivalent to

$$\langle g, \Phi_n^* h \rangle = 0.$$

Since this equality holds for all $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ it particularly holds for $g = \Phi_n^* h$, and so $\langle \Phi_n^* h, \Phi_n^* h \rangle = 0$ however $\langle \Phi_n^* h, \Phi_n^* h \rangle = \langle h, h \rangle$ since Φ_n^* is an isometry and thus we have a contradiction since $h \neq 0$ by hypothesis. Therefore Φ_n maps $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ onto $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Since it is also an isometry, Φ_n is unitary on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. □

4. The Spectrum and Eigenfunctions of Φ_n

4.1. **Four-periodicity.** Next we wish to show that Φ_n satisfies $\Phi_n^4 f = f$ for each $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. To do this, we again consider the even and odd parts separately. We show that Φ_n^2 is a reflection operator on the even and odd functions in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, hereafter denoted by $L^2(\mathbb{R})^+$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R})^-$, respectively. To do this, we return to a consideration of (3.6).

We know that $\overline{\varphi_n(\eta)} = c_n(\eta) - i s_n(\eta) = c_n(-\eta) + i s_n(-\eta) = \varphi_n(-\eta)$ since c_n is even and s_n is odd. We can extract further information from this by considering even and odd functions in ${V_j}^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}({V_j}^+)$; for $g \in {V_j}^+$, $\Phi_n^* g = \Phi_n g$ and for $h \in \mathcal{H}({V_j}^+)$, $\Phi_n^* h = -\Phi_n h$. These results extend by continuity to $L^2(\mathbb{R})^+$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R})^-$ in the usual way. This immedately leads into the next few results.

Lemma 7. Φ_n^2 is a reflection operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})^+$, i.e., on $L^2(\mathbb{R})^+$,

$$\Phi_n^2 = I \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. Let $g, h \in L^2(\mathbb{R})^+$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \Phi_n g, h\rangle &= \langle g, \Phi_n^* h\rangle \\ &= \langle g, \Phi_n h\rangle \\ &= \langle \Phi_n g, \Phi_n^2 h\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

By equating the first and last expressions, it follows that $\Phi_n^2 = I$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R})^+$ as desired.

□

Now that it has been shown that Φ_n^2 is a reflection operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})^+$, it remains to show that it is a reflection operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})^-$. In the next lemma, we prove this claim.

Lemma 8. Φ_n^2 is a reflection operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})^-$, i.e., on $L^2(\mathbb{R})^-$,

$$\Phi_n^2 = -I \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. Let $g, h \in L^2(\mathbb{R})^-$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \Phi_n g, h\rangle &= \langle g, \Phi_n^* h\rangle \\ &= -\langle g, \Phi_n h\rangle \\ &= -\langle \Phi_n g, \Phi_n^2 h\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

By equating the first and last expressions, it follows that $\Phi_n^2 = -I$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R})^-$ as desired. This and the previous lemma lead directly into the next theorem.

□

Theorem 4. $\Phi_n^4 = I$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Let $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Write $g = g^+ + g^-$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_n^4 g &= \Phi_n^4 g^+ + \Phi_n^4 g^- \\ &= g^+ + g^- \\ &= g,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemmas 7 and 8. Thus the theorem is proved and gives that $\Phi_n^* = \Phi_n^{-1} = \Phi_n^3$ naturally. This mimics the well-known result from Fourier transform theory that $\mathcal{F}^* = \mathcal{F}^{-1} = \mathcal{F}^3$. □

We now have that $\Phi_n^4 = I$ as desired. This, together with the unitarity of Φ_n, implies that the eigenvalues of Φ_n can only be $\pm 1, \pm i$. To see this, one needs only to consider an eigenfunction $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ of Φ_n. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_n g &= \lambda g \\ \Phi_n^4 g &= \lambda^4 g = g,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $\Phi_n^4 = I$. Thus $\lambda^4 = 1$ and so λ can only be 1, -1, i or $-i$. We can show that each of these eigenvalues are permissible by considering eigenfunctions of Φ_n. Due to the distributional nature of s_n, there is no known explicit expression for the eigenfunctions for the odd part of Φ_n, however the even eigenfunctions can be deduced via a technique due to Akhiezer [1]. We start by noting that $e^{-\frac{t^{2n}}{2n}}$ is an eigenfunction of Φ_n by definition, thus

$$e^{-\frac{\omega^{2n}}{2n}} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_n(\omega t) e^{-\frac{t^{2n}}{2n}}\, dt.$$

Making the change of variable $t = \alpha^{\frac{1}{2n}} x$ and $\omega = \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2n}} y$ where $\alpha > 0$, we see that c_n is unchanged but we have

$$e^{-\frac{y^{2n}}{2n\alpha}} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_n(xy) e^{-\alpha \frac{x^{2n}}{2n}} \alpha^{\frac{1}{2n}}\, dx.$$

Multiplying both sides by $\alpha^{-\frac{1}{4n}}$ yields the following

$$\alpha^{-\frac{1}{4n}} e^{-\frac{y^{2n}}{2n\alpha}} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_n(xy) e^{-\alpha \frac{x^{2n}}{2n}} \alpha^{\frac{1}{4n}}\, dx.$$

We introduce the parameter $\beta = \frac{1}{\alpha}$ and note that $\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} = -\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}$. Thus

$$\left(-\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}\right)^m \left(\beta^{\frac{1}{4n}} e^{-\beta \frac{y^{2n}}{2n}}\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_n(xy) \left(\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\right)^m \left(\alpha^{\frac{1}{4n}} e^{-\alpha \frac{x^{2n}}{2n}}\right) dx. \tag{4.3}$$

To remove the parameters α and β, after differentiating, they may be set to 1. It is then clear that the eigenfunctions are

$$\left(\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\right)^m \left(\alpha^{\frac{1}{4n}} e^{-\alpha \frac{x^{2n}}{2n}}\right)\Big|_{\alpha=1}, \tag{4.4}$$

with eigenvalue $(-1)^m$.

Note that the above procedure could be employed for the odd part of Φ_n if merely one odd eigenfunction was known explicitly. Even without a general paradigm for establishing closed form expressions for the eigenfunctions of the odd part of Φ_n, it can still be shown that the eigenvalues $\pm i$ are realized.

Proposition 3. $\pm i$ are eigenvalues of Φ_n.

Proof. To see this, let $g \neq 0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})^-$. Consider $g - i\Phi_n g$. If this is zero, then $\Phi_n g = -ig$ and so $-i$ is an eigenvalue of Φ_n; otherwise, $\Phi_n(g - i\Phi_n g) = \Phi_n g - i\Phi_n^2 g = i(g - i\Phi_n g)$ and so i is an eigenvalue of Φ_n.

Likewise, consider $-ig + \Phi_n g$. If this is zero, $\Phi_n g = ig$ and so i is an eigenvalue of Φ_n; otherwise, $\Phi_n(-ig + \Phi_n g) = -i\Phi_n g + \Phi_n^2 g = -i(-ig + \Phi_n g)$ and so $-i$ is an eigenvalue of Φ_n.

By exhausting all possible cases, we see that $\pm i$ are indeed eigenvalues of Φ_n. Next we will show that the spectrum of Φ_n contains only the eigenvalues. □

Proposition 4. Let H be a Hilbert space. Suppose $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and further that $T^n = I$, then $\sigma(T) \subseteq \{\lambda : \lambda^n = 1\}$.

Proof. Let $f(z) = z^n$, then f is entire and since $T^n = I$, $\sigma(f(T)) = \sigma(I) = \{1\}$. By the spectral mapping theorem [8], we know that for holomorphic g, $\sigma(g(T)) = g(\sigma(T))$. Furthermore since $f(\sigma(T)) = \{\lambda^n : \lambda \in \sigma(T)\}$, we see that $1 = \lambda^n$ and so $\sigma(T) \subseteq \{\lambda : \lambda^n = 1\}$. □

Corollary. The spectrum of Φ_n is only composed of the eigenvalues.

The proof of this corollary is a direct application of Proposition 4 with $n = 4$ by Theorem 4.

5. Short-Time Φ_n Transform

Returning to the original motivation behind the new family of transforms, we would like to develop a new paradigm for time-frequency analysis in the vein of windowed (short-time) Fourier transforms by way of the Φ_n transform. Recall that the short-time Fourier transform (STFT) of a function $h \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ with a window $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ is given by

$$\mathcal{V}_g h(\omega, t) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega t'} g(t' - t) h(t')\, dt'. \tag{5.1}$$

This can be rewritten in a more tangible form: $\mathcal{V}_g h(\omega, t) = \mathcal{F}(g_t h)$, where $g_t(t') = g(t' - t)$. Since the product of Schwartz functions is again a Schwartz function, the Fourier transform of $g_t h$ is well-defined. This realization of the STFT will expedite the development of the short-time Φ_n transform.

Due to the translational invariance (up to a scale factor) of the Fourier kernel, it need not be centered with the moving window. However in the case of the higher order transforms, the kernels are no longer translationally invariant and thus some ambiguity arises when considering short-time analogs of Φ_n: one could choose to center the kernel with the window and pass them across the function or center the kernel on the function and pass the moving window across them. It is not hard to see that both notions are mathematically equivalent and will lead to equivalent results. Thus we choose keep with the established literature, c.f. [2], of simply sliding the window across the kernel and function. We will state two theorems regarding the short-time Φ_n transform: the reconstruction property and an orthogonality relation. We first give the definition of the short-time Φ_n transform and proceed to prove that a function may be recovered from its short-time Φ_n transform.

Definition 6. Let $g, h \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $g_t h \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, where $g_t(t') = g(t' - t)$. We define the short-time Φ_n transform of h with window g to be

$$\mathcal{V}_g^{(n)} h(\omega, t) = \Phi_n(g_t h)(\omega). \tag{5.2}$$

If g and h are arbitrary functions in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $\Phi_n(g_t h)$ may not exist so the prescription that $g_t h \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is necessary. This restriction is not very strong as it holds for all $g, h \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ which is a dense subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, but for the sake of mathematical rigor, we leave it. Assuming $\Phi_n(g h_t)$ exists in the original sense as an integral transform, e.g. if g is an n-Gaussian, then the definition would mimic that of the STFT. Instead of restricting to functions on which Φ_n is defined naturally as an integral transform and then extending the results via density arguments, we prefer to work in full generality from the outset for simplicity of argument. With this definition, we may immediately state the first theorem.

Theorem 5. Let $g, h \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $g_t h \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then h may be reconstructed from $\mathcal{V}_g^{(n)} h$ by the following

$$h(t) = \frac{1}{\langle g, g \rangle} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{g(t-\tau)} \Phi_n \mathcal{V}_g^{(n)} h(-t, \tau)\, d\tau, \tag{5.3}$$

where $\Phi_n \mathcal{V}_g^{(n)} h(-t, \tau)$ is understood to be Φ_n acting on $f_\tau(\omega) = \mathcal{V}_g^{(n)} h(\omega, \tau)$, i.e. τ is constant.

Proof. We first consider the operation of Φ_n on $\mathcal{V}_g^{(n)} h$. This gives

$$\Phi_n \mathcal{V}_g^{(n)} h(-t, \tau) = \Phi_n(\Phi_n(g_\tau h)(\cdot))(-t) = \Phi_n^2(g_\tau h)(-t).$$

With the appearance of Φ_n^2, it is natural to break $g_\tau h$ into even and odd parts in order to make use of Lemmas 7 and 8. We write $g_\tau = g_\tau^+ + g_\tau^-$ and $h = h^+ + h^-$. Therefore it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_n \mathcal{V}_g^{(n)} h(-t, \tau) &= \Phi_n^2((g_\tau^+ + g_\tau^-)(h^+ + h^-))(-t) \\ &= ((g_\tau^+ - g_\tau^-)(h^+ - h^-))(-t) \\ &= g_\tau(t) h(t). \end{aligned}$$

Then by above,

$$\frac{1}{\langle g, g \rangle} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{g(t-\tau)} \Phi_n \mathcal{V}_g^{(n)}(-t, \tau)\, d\tau = \frac{1}{\langle g, g \rangle} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{g(t-\tau)} g(t-\tau) h(t)\, d\tau = h(t).$$

Thus the theorem is proved. □

With the ability to reconstruct a signal from its short-time Φ_n transform, it is natural to ask if energy is also preserved as is the case with the STFT. It so happens that an orthogonality relation holds regarding short-time Φ_n transforms—much like in the case of the STFT [2]—which immediately leads to energy preservation. We shall now state the theorem.

Theorem 6. Let $g_1, g_2, h_1, h_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $g_{1t}h_1, g_{2t}h_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then the following orthogonality relation holds

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{V}_{g_1}^{(n)} h_1(\omega,t)\overline{\mathcal{V}_{g_2}^{(n)} h_2(\omega,t)}\, d\omega\, dt = \langle g_1, g_2\rangle\langle h_1, h_2\rangle. \tag{5.4}$$

Proof. From the definition of the short-time Φ_n transform, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{V}_{g_1}^{(n)} h_1(\omega,t)\overline{\mathcal{V}_{g_2}^{(n)} h_2(\omega,t)}\, d\omega\, dt &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi_n(g_{1t}h_1)(\omega)\overline{\Phi_n(g_{2t}h_2)(\omega)}\, d\omega\, dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \Phi_n(g_{1t}h_1), \Phi_n(g_{2t}h_2)\rangle_\omega\, dt,\end{aligned}$$

where the notation $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_\omega$ is an inner product over ω (with t fixed). Making use the unitarity of Φ_n, this becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{V}_{g_1}^{(n)} h_1(\omega,t)\overline{\mathcal{V}_{g_2}^{(n)} h_2(\omega,t)}\, d\omega\, dt &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle g_{1t}h_1, g_{2t}h_2\rangle_\omega\, dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_1(\omega-t)\overline{g_2(\omega-t)}h_1(\omega)\overline{h_2(\omega)}\, d\omega\ dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_1(\omega)\overline{h_2(\omega)}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_1(\omega-t)\overline{g_2(\omega-t)}\, dt\, d\omega \\ &= \langle g_1, g_2\rangle\langle h_1, h_2\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Here we have employed Fubini's theorem. An important corollary follows. □

Corollary. Let $g, h \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $g_t h \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\langle g, g\rangle = 1$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\mathcal{V}_g^{(n)} h(\omega,t)|^2\, d\omega\, dt = \langle h, h\rangle. \tag{5.5}$$

Proof. Set $g_1 = g_2 = g$ and $h_1 = h_2 = h$ in Theorem 6, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{V}_g^{(n)} h(\omega,t)\overline{\mathcal{V}_g^{(n)} h(\omega,t)}\, d\omega\, dt = \langle g, g\rangle\langle h, h\rangle = \langle h, h\rangle.$$

□

This result shows that the energy contained in the short-time Φ_n transform is the same as that of the original signal (provided that the windowing function is L^2-normalized) and so the short-time Φ_n transform is an isometry from $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ into $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

The authors CLW, BGB and DJK gratefully acknowledge partial support of this research by grants from Total E&P USA and PGS. The authors CLW and DJK acknowledge partial support of this research under Grant E-0608 from the Robert A. Welch Foundation.

References

[1] N. I. Akhiezer. *Lectures on Integral Transforms*. American Mathematical Society, 1988.
[2] K. Gröchenig. *The Foundations of Time-Frequency Analysis*. Birkhäuser, 2001.
[3] P. R. Halmos and V.S. Sunder. *Bounded Integral Operators on L^2 Spaces*. Springer-Verlag, 1978.
[4] E. Hernandez and G. Weiss. *A First Course on Wavelets*. CRC Press, 1996.
[5] F. W. King. *Hilbert Transforms: Volume 1*. Cambridge University Press, 2009.
[6] F. W. J. Olver, D. W. Lozier, R. F. Boisvert, and C. W. Clark. *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge University Press, 2010.

[7] M. Orton. Hilbert transforms, plemelj relations and fourier transforms of distributions. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 4:656–667, 1973.
[8] W. Rudin. *Functional Analysis*. McGraw-Hill, 1991.
[9] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss. *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*. Princeton University Press, 1971.
[10] H. G. Tillman. Darstellung der schwartzschen distributionen durch analytische funktionen. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 77:106–124, 1961.
[11] G. N. Watson. *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions*. Cambridge University Press, 1995.

Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario N2L 3G1, Canada

Department of Mathematics, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204-3008, USA

Departments of Physics, Chemistry, Mathematics and Mechanical Engineering, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204-3008, USA